

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri K. NAGAPPA ALVA (Minister for Health).—I beg to lay on the Table Notification No. LLH 93 LWA 60 (ii) dated 8th June 1961 (The Mysore Maternity Benefit Rules, 1960) as required under section 23 of the Mysore Maternity Act, 1959.—

Mysore Police Bill, 1962—Introduced.

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—I beg to introduce The Mysore Police Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 5) BILL, 1962

Introduced

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN (Deputy Minister for Electricity).—Sir, I beg to introduce The Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—Yesterday I said that I would give the required information about the fee rise. That involves important matters and so I will make a statement on Monday.

Members' Representation

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—Sir, you were pleased to say that you would decide about the date for discussing the no-confidence motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I said that I would fix a day. I must take into consideration the work of the House and try to see that I should give the top-most priority to that motion.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, what about Sharavathy?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has been laid on the Table. A copy of it has been given to me. I will circulate it.

Before I take up the other work, the adjournment motion is a bit important and the Minister for Home was trying to explain yesterday. He said that he wanted five minutes to complete it.

ADMISSIBILITY OF ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS—*re: Deaths of 62 persons in K. G. F. as a result of consumption of certain intoxicated drinks—*

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister of Home).—Mr. Speaker, Sir, resuming my submission of definition of rule 57 (2), whether this matter is under adjudication or not, I wish to say that adjudication means whether a case is under enquiry or under investigation or under judicial trial. If you take all these words into consideration my submission is that this matter has taken cognisance of the court for judicial consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Minister my convince me that an enquiry has begun. I will be convinced.

Sri. R. M. PATIL.—Further explanation and interpretation have been dealt with in one of the cases reported in A. I. R. 54, page 1 (Orissa).

The point which was discussed in that case was the point of contempt of court. While discussing that their Lordships were pleased to refer to a number of rulings including British rulings also. On page 3, para 11, Their Lordships have said: "It is possible very effectually to poison the fountain of justice before it begins to flow; it is not possible to do when the stream has ceased." Their Lordships have further discussed the quotation from the House of Lords and said: "The criterion is not whether the court will be influenced, but whether the action complained of is calculated to prejudice the course of justice." Their Lordships further observed "Similarly to comment on a cause which is about to come....." The field is extended—"before the court, with knowledge of the fact, is just as much a contempt as commenting upon a